

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	2
Scope and Applicability	2
Summary of Method	2
Reviewer Qualifications	2
DEFINITIONS	3
Acronyms	3
Data Qualifiers	4
LAB QUALIFIERS:	4
PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES	5
1.0 <u>Data Completeness and Deliverables</u>	5
2.0 <u>Cover Letter, SDG Narrative</u>	5
SEMIVOLATILE ANALYSES	5
1.0 <u>Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative</u>	5
2.0 <u>Holding Times</u>	6
3.0 <u>Surrogate Recovery (Form II)</u>	7
4.0 <u>Matrix Spikes (Form III)</u>	9
5.0 <u>Blanks (Form IV)</u>	10
6.0 <u>Contamination</u>	11
7.0 <u>GC/MS Apparatus and Materials</u>	13
8.0 <u>GC/MS Instrument Performance Check</u>	13
9.0 <u>Target Analytes</u>	15
10.0 <u>Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)</u>	17
11.0 <u>Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits</u>	18
12.0 <u>Standards Data (GC/MS)</u>	19
13.0 <u>GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)</u>	19
14.0 <u>GC/MS Calibration Verification (Form VII)</u>	22
15.0 <u>Internal Standards (Form VIII)</u>	24
16.0 <u>Field Duplicates</u>	25

S))Q

YES NO N/A

INTRODUCTION

Scope and Applicability

This SOP offers detailed guidance in evaluating laboratory data generated according to "SW846-Method 8270C" December, 1996. Method 8270 is used to determine the concentration of semivolatile organic compounds in extracts prepared from many types of solid waste matrices, soils, air sampling media, and water samples. The validation methods and actions discussed in this document are based on the requirements set forth in the "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review," October 1996. This document covers technical problems specific to each fraction and sample matrix; however, situations may arise where data limitations must be assessed based on the reviewer's professional judgement.

Summary of Method

To ensure a thorough evaluation of each result in a data case, the reviewer must complete the checklist within this SOP, answering specific questions while performing the prescribed "ACTIONS" in each section. Qualifiers (or flags) are applied to questionable or unusable results as instructed. The data qualifiers discussed in this document are defined on page 4.

The reviewer must prepare a detailed data assessment to be submitted along with the completed SOP checklist. The Data Assessment must list all data qualifications, reasons for qualifications, instances of missing data and contract non-compliance.

Reviewer Qualifications

Data reviewers must possess a working knowledge of SW846 Analytical Method 8270C.

S))Q

YES NO N/A

DEFINITIONS

Acronyms

BNA - base neutral acid(another name for Semi Volatiles)
CLP - Contract Laboratory Program
CRQL - Contract Required Quantitation Limit
%D - percent difference
DCB -decachlorobiphenyl
DDD - dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
DDE - dichlorodiphenylethane
DDT - dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DoC - Date of Collection
GC - gas chromatography
GC/ECD - gas chromatograph/electron capture detector
GC/MS - gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer
GPC - gel permeation chromatography
IS - internal standard
kg - kilogram
µg - microgram
MS - matrix spike
MSD - matrix spike duplicate
R - liter
mR - milliliter
PCB - Polychlorinated biphenyl
PE - performance evaluation
PEM - Performance Evaluation Mixture
QC - quality control
RAS - Routine Analytical Services
RIC - reconstructed ion chromatogram
RPD - relative percent difference
RRF - relative response factor
RRF - average relative response factor (from initial calibration)
RRT - relative retention time
RSD - relative standard deviation
RT - retention time
RSCC - Regional Sample Control Center
SDG - sample delivery group
SMC - system monitoring compound
SOP - standard operating procedure
SOW - Statement of Work
SVOA - semivolatile organic acid
TCL - Target Compound List

S))Q

YES NO N/A

TCLP - Toxicity Characteristics Leachate Procedure

TCX -tetrachloro-m-xylene

TIC - tentatively identified compound

TOP0 - Task Order Project Officer

TPO - Technical Project Officer

VOA - Volatile organic

VTSR - Validated Time of Sample Receipt

Data Qualifiers

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| U | - | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. |
| J | - | The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| N | - | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification." |
| NJ | - | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration. |
| UJ | - | The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. |
| R | - | The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified. |

LAB QUALIFIERS:

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| D | - | The positive value is the result of an analysis at a secondary dilution factor. |
| B | - | The analyte is present in the associated method blank as well as the sample. This qualifier has a different meaning when validating inorganic data. |
| E | - | The concentration of this analyte exceeds the calibration range of the instrument. |

S))Q

YES NO N/A

A - Indicates a Tentatively Identified Compound (TIC) is a suspected
adol-condensation product.

X,Y,Z- Laboratory defined flags. The data reviewer must change these
qualifiers during validation so that the data user may
understand their impact on the data.

I. PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES

CASE NUMBER: _____ LAB: _____

SITE NAME: _____

1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables

1.1 Has all data been submitted in CLP deliverable
format? ☐ ___

ACTION: If not, note the effect on review of the data
in the data assessment narrative.

2.0 Cover Letter, SDG Narrative

2.1 Is a laboratory narrative or cover letter
present? ☐ ___

2.2 Are case number and SDG number(s) contained
in the narrative or cover letter? ☐ ___

II. SEMIVOLATILE ANALYSES

S)))))))))))))))))Q
YES NO N/A

1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative

1.1 Are the Traffic Report Forms present for all samples? 1

ACTION: If no, contact lab for replacement of missing or illegible copies.

1.2 Do the Traffic Reports or Lab Narrative indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data? []

YES NO N/A

- 7 -

SW846 Method 8270C (Rev.3, December 1996)

SOP HW-22 Rev.2

YES	NO	N/A
-----	----	-----

S))Q

YES NO N/A

ACTION: If technical holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon re analysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. At a minimum, all results should be qualified "J", but the reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable ("R"). If holding times are exceeded by more than 28 days, all non-detect data are unusable (R).

3.0 Surrogate Recovery (Form II)

3.1 Have the semi volatile surrogate recoveries been listed on CLP Surrogate Recovery forms (Form II) for each of the following matrices:

a. Low Water []

b. Low/Med Soil []

3.2 If so, are all the samples listed on the appropriate Surrogate Recovery Summary forms for each matrix:

a. Low Water [] [] []

b. Low/Med Soil []

ACTION: If CLP deliverables or equivalent are unavailable, document the effect(s) in data

S))Q

YES NO N/A

assessments. In some cases the lab may have to be contacted to obtain the data necessary to complete the validation.

3.3 Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

3.4 Were two or more base neutral OR acid surrogate recoveries out of specification for any sample or method blank ☐ ☐ ☐

If yes, were samples re-analyzed? ☐ ☐ ☐

Were method blanks re-analyzed? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If all surrogate recoveries are > 10% but two within the base-neutral or acid fraction do not meet method specifications, for the affected fraction only (i.e. either base-neutral or acid compounds):

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ") when recoveries are less than the lower acceptance limit.
3. If recoveries are greater than the upper acceptance limit, do not qualify non-detects.

If any base-neutral or acid surrogate has a recovery of < 10%:

1. Positive results for the fraction with < 10% surrogate recovery are qualified with "J".
2. Non-detects for that fraction should be qualified as unusable ("R").

S))Q

YES NO N/A

NOTE: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and reanalyses.

3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and document effect in data assessments.

4.0 Matrix Spikes (Form III)

4.1 Have the semivolatile Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate or duplicate unspiked Sample recoveries been listed on the CLP Recovery Form (Form III)? ☐ ☐ ☐

NOTE: This method may not require a Matrix Spike Duplicate. Lab should submit MS/MSD or MS and Duplicate unspiked sample. (see section 8.4.2, page 8270C-22)

4.2 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:

- | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| a. Low Water | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Low Solid | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Med Solid | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above. It may be necessary to contact the lab to obtain the required data.

S))Q

YES NO N/A

NOTE: If the data has not been reported on CLP forms, then the laboratory must provide the information necessary to evaluate the spike recoveries in the MS and MSD. The required data which should have been provided by the lab include the analytes and concentrations used for spiking, background concentrations of the spiked analytes (i.e., concentrations in unspiked sample), methods and equations used to calculate the QC acceptance criteria for the spiked analytes, percent recovery data for all spiked analytes.

The data reviewer must verify that all reported equations and percent recoveries are correct before proceeding to the next section.

4.3 Were matrix spikes performed at concentration > 100ug/L ? ☐ ☐ ☐

4.4 Were any semivolatile spike recoveries outside QC limits (compare to the values in Table 6, page 8270C-39 and 40) or Lab's in-house generated criteria? ☐ ☐ ☐

4.5 Were any RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries outside QC limits? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Circle all outliers with red pencil.

ACTION: No action is taken on MS/MSD data alone. However, using professional judgement, the data reviewer may use the matrix spike / matrix spike duplicate and duplicate unspiked results in conjunction with other QC criteria to determine the need for some qualification of the data.

4.6 Was a LCS analyzed with each analytical batch? (See section 8.4.3, page 8270C-22) ☐ ☐ ☐

S))Q

YES NO N/A

NOTE: When the results of the matrix spike analysis indicate a potential problem due to the sample matrix itself, the LCS results are used to verify that the laboratory can perform the analysis in a clean matrix.

4.7 Were any LCS recovery outside the interim acceptance criteria of 70 - 130% or outside lab's in-house generated limits?

☐ ☐ ☐

5.0 Blanks (Form IV)

5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV) present?

☐ ☐ ☐

5.2 Frequency of Analysis:

Has a reagent/method blank analysis been reported per 20 samples of similar matrix, or concentration level, and for each extraction batch?

☐ ☐ ☐

5.3 Has a method blank been analyzed for each GC/MS system used ?

☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If any method blank data are missing, call lab for explanation/resubmittal. If not available, use professional judgement to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified.

5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.

Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for the semivolatiles?

☐ ☐ ☐

S))Q

YES NO N/A

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.

6.0 Contamination

NOTE: "Water blanks", "drill blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify the data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.

6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results for target analytes and/or TICs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample dilution factor and corrected for percent moisture where necessary. ___ [] ___

6.2 Do any field/rinse/ blanks have positive results for target analytes and/or TICs (if required, see paragraph 10 below)? ___ [] ___

ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks.
(Attach a separate sheet.)

NOTE: All field blank results associated to a particular group of samples (may exceed one per case) must be used to qualify data. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Field blanks must be qualified for outlying surrogates, poor spectra, instrument performance or calibration QC problems.

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify sample results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks. If gross contamination exists, all data

S))Q

YES NO N/A

in the associated samples should be qualified as unusable (R).

For Common Phthalate Esters:	For Other Contaminants:	Action:
Sample conc. > CRDL, but < 10x blank result	Sample conc. > CRDL, but < 5x blank result	Flag sample result with a "U"
Sample conc. is < CRDL & < 10x blank result	Sample conc. < CRDL & < 5x blank result	Report CRDL and qualify with a "U"
Sample conc. > CRDL & > 10x blank result	Sample conc. > CRDL & > 5x blank result	No qualification is necessary

NOTE: Analytes qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered as hits when qualifying for calibration criteria.

NOTE: If the laboratory did not report TIC analyses, check the project plans to verify whether or not it was required. (see section 7.6.2, page 8270C-19)

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: For low level samples, note in data assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

7.0 GC/MS Apparatus and Materials

S))Q

YES NO N/A

7.1 Did the lab use the proper gas chromatographic column for analysis of semivolatiles by Method 8270C? Check raw data, instrument logs or contact the lab to determine what type of column was used. The method requires the use of 30 m x 0.25 mm ID (or 0.32 mm ID), silicone-coated, fused silica, capillary column. ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If the specified column, or equivalent, was not used, document the effects in the data assessment. Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.

8.0 GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

8.1 Are the GC/MS Instrument Performance Check Forms (Form V) present for decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP)? ☐ ☐ ☐

NOTE: The performance solution should also contain 4,4-DDT, pentachlorophenol, and benzidine to verify injection port inertness and column performance. The degradation of DDT to DDE and DDD must be less than 20% total and the response of pentachlorophenol and benzidine should be within normal ranges for these compounds (based upon lab experience) and show no peak degradation or tailing before samples are analyzed. (see section 5.5 page 8270C-11).

8.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the DFTPP provided for each twelve hour shift? ☐ ☐ ☐

8.3 Has an instrument performance check solution been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample

S))Q
YES NO N/A

analyses for which no associated GC/MS
tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

ACTION: If the lab cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

ACTION: If mass assignment is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable (R).

8.4 Have the ion abundances been normalized to m/z 198? ☐ _____

8.5 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used? ☐ _____

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

ACTION: If ion abundance criteria are not met, the Region II TOPO must be notified.

8.6 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) _____ ☐ _____

8.7 Have the appropriate number of significant

[illegible]

figures (two) been reported?

ACTION: If large errors exist, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make necessary corrections and document effect in data assessments.

8.8 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable?

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

9.0 Target Analytes

9.1 Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I) present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:

- | | | | | |
|----|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| a. | Samples and/or fractions as appropriate | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| b. | Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| c. | Blanks | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| D. | Lab control samples | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

9.2 Has any special cleanup, such as GPC, been performed on all soil/sediment sample extracts (see section 7.2, page 8270C-13)?

ACTION: If data suggests that extract cleanup was not performed, use professional judgement. Make note in the data assessment narrative.

9.3 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?

S))Q
YES NO N/A

- a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate ☐ ___ ___
- b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates
(Mass spectra not required) ☐ ___ ___
- c. Blanks ☐ ___ ___
- D Lab control samples ☐ ___ ___

ACTION: If any data are missing, take action
specified in 3.2 above.

9.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with
respect to:

- Baseline stability? ☐ ___ ___
- Resolution? ☐ ___ ___
- Peak shape? ☐ ___ ___
- Full-scale graph (attenuation)? ☐ ___ ___
- Other: _____ ☐ ___ ___

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the
acceptability of the data.

9.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of identified
semivolatile compounds present for
each sample? ☐ ___ ___

ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action
specified in 3.2 above. If the lab does not
generate their own standard spectra, make a
note in the data assessment narrative. If
spectra are missing, reject all positive data.

9.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within ± 0.06
RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing

S))Q

YES NO N/A

calibration?

☐ ☐ ☐

9.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% (of the most abundant ion) also present in the sample mass spectrum?

☐ ☐ ☐

9.8 Do the relative intensities of the characteristic ions in the sample agree within $\pm 30\%$ of the corresponding relative intensities in the reference spectrum?

☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected ("R"), flagged "N" (Presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to not detected ("U") at the calculated detection limit. In order to be positively identified, the data must comply with the criteria listed in 9.6, 9.7, and 9.8.

ACTION: When sample carry-over is a possibility, professional judgement should be used to determine if instrument cross-contamination has affected any positive compound identification.

10.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)

10.1 If Tentatively Identified Compounds were required for this project, are all Form I's, Part B present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "JN" qualifier? ☐ ☐ ☐

10.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each ☐ ☐ ☐ of the following:

YES NO N/A

- ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

10.3 Are any target compounds from one fraction listed as TIC compounds in another (e.g., an acid compound listed as a base neutral TIC)? _____ ☐ _____

- 10.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% (of the most abundant ion) also present in the sample mass spectrum?

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change the identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate and remove "JN". Also, when a compound is not found in any blank, but is a suspected artifact of a common laboratory contaminant, the result should be qualified as unusable, "R".

S))Q

YES NO N/A

11.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits

NOTE: Average Response Factor from the initial calibration is used for quantitation.

11.1 Are there any transcription/calculation errors in Form I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were used to calculate Form I result. Were any errors found?

NOTE: Structural isomers with similar mass spectra, but insufficient GC resolution (i.e. percent valley between the two peaks > 25%) should be reported as isomeric pairs. The reviewer should check the raw data to ensure that all such isomers were included in the quantitation (i.e., add the areas of the two co-eluting peaks to calculate the total concentration).

11.2 Are the method detection limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and % moisture in case of soil samples?

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and document effect in data assessments.

ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest detection limits are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher detection limit from the diluted sample data). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and it's associated value on the original Form I (if present) and substituting the data from the analysis of the diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red

S))Q

YES NO N/A

" X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package.

12.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)

12.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant, Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

13.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)

13.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI) present and complete for the semivolatile fraction? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If any calibration forms or standard raw data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

13.2 Are all **average RRF's** of the four System Performance Check Compounds (**SPCCs**): N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine, hexachlorocyclopentadiene, 2,4-dinitrophenol and 4-nitrophenol > 0.050? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If no:
CONTRACTUAL - Circle all outliers in red.
Document in the Data Assessment under contract non compliance.

ACTION: TECHNICAL - For any target analyte, CCC or SPCC with average **RRF <0.05**

1. "R" all non-detects;

2. "J" all positive results.

S))Q

YES NO N/A

13.3 Is the % RSD for each individual Calibration

Check Compound (CCC) - Acenaphthene, 1,4-Dichlorobenzene,
Hexachlorobutadiene, Diphenylamine, Di-n-octyl
phthalate, Fluoranthene, Benzo(a)pyrene,
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol, 2,4-Dichlorophenol,
2-Nitrophenol, Phenol, Pentachlorophenol and
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol less than 30%? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If no:

CONTRACTUAL - Circle all outliers in red.

Document in the Data Assessment under contract
non compliance.

TECHNICAL - All positive hits for that particular
CCC must be qualified "J". If % RSD > 90%,
flag all positive results for that analyte "J" and
non-detect results for that analyte "R" unusable.

13.4 If % RSD for one or more target analytes exceeds 15%,
is the MEAN of the % RSD values for ALL analytes in
the calibration less than or equal to 15%? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If yes:

CONTRACTUAL - The initial calibration is
valid and the average RF from the initial
calibration is used to quantitate sample results.

TECHNICAL - If the % RSD is $\geq 15.0\%$ for any
Individual target analyte, qualify positive
results for that analyte "J". If % RSD > 90%,
flag all positive results for that analyte "J" and
non-detect results for that analyte "R" unusable.

13.5 If the MEAN % RSD is greater than 15%, Did the
laboratory calculate first or second order
regression fit of the calibration curve ? ☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If no:

CONTRACTUAL - The initial calibration is

S))Q

YES NO N/A

not valid, Document in the Data Assessment
under contract non compliance.

TECHNICAL - If % RSD is > 15.0% for any
individual target analyte, qualify positive
results for that analyte "J". When % RSD > 90%,
flag all positive results for that analyte "J"
and non-detect results for that analyte "R"
unusable.

NOTE: Analytes previously qualified "U" due to
blank contamination are still considered
as "hits" when qualifying for calibration
criteria.

13.6 Are there any transcription/calculation errors
in the reporting of average response factors
(RRF) or % RSD? (Check at least two values but
if errors are found, check more.)

___ ☐ ___

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for
explanation/resubmittal, make any
necessary corrections and note
errors in data assessments.

13.7 Do the target compounds for this SDG include
Pesticides?

☐ ___

13.8 If the pesticide compounds include DDT, was the
percent breakdown of DDT to DDD and DDE greater
than 20%?

___ ☐ ___

ACTION: If DDT percent breakdown exceeds 20%:

- i. Qualify all positive results for DDT with
"J". If DDT was not detected, but DDD and
DDE results are positive, qualify the

S))Q

YES NO N/A

quantitation limit for DDT as unusable,
"R".

- ii. Qualify all positive results for DDD and
DDE as presumptively present at an
approximate concentration "JN".

14.0 GC/MS Calibration Verification (Form VII)

14.1 Are the Calibration verification Forms (Form VII)
present and complete for all compounds of
interest?

☐ ☐ ☐

14.2 Has a calibration verification standard been analyzed
for every twelve hours of sample analysis
per instrument?

☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not
within twelve hours of a calibration
verification analysis for each instrument used.

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no calibration
verification standard has been analyzed within
twelve hours of every sample analysis, call lab
for explanation/resubmittal. If calibration
verification data is not available, flag all
associated sample data as unusable ("R").

14.3 Do any of the SPCCs have an **RRF <0.05**?

☐ ☐ ☐

If YES, did the lab take corrective action as
in section 7.4.4.2, page 8270C-17.

☐ ☐ ☐

ACTION: If no:

S))Q

YES NO N/A

CONTRACTUAL - Circle all outliers in red.
Document in the Data Assessment under contract
non compliance.

ACTION: TECHNICAL - For any target analyte, SPCC
or CCC with **RRF <0.05**

1. "R" all non-detects;
2. "J" all positive results.

14.4 Do any of the CCCs have a %D between the initial
and verification RRF which exceeds 20.0%? ☐ ☒ ☐

ACTION: If Yes:

CONTRACTUAL - Circle all outliers in red.
Document in the Data Assessment under contract
non compliance.

TECHNICAL - All positive hits for that particular
CCC must be qualified "J" and all non-detects "UJ".
When %D > 90%, flag all positive results for
that analyte "J" and non-detect results for that
analyte "R" unusable.

14.5 Do any target compounds have a % D between the
initial and verification RRF which exceeds
20.0%? ☐ ☒ ☐

ACTION: If yes:

CONTRACTUAL - Circle all outliers in red.

TECHNICAL - All positive hits for that particular
target compound must be qualified "J" and all
non-detects "UJ". When %D > 90%, flag all positive
results for that analyte "J" and non-detect
results for that analyte "R" unusable.

14.6 If %D for one or more target analytes exceeds 20%,
Is the MEAN of the %D values for all analytes in

S))Q

YES NO N/A

The calibration less than or equal to 20%? 1

ACTION: If yes:

CONTRACTUAL - The initial calibration is valid and the average RF from the initial calibration is used to quantitate sample results.

If no:

The initial calibration is invalid. Document in the Data Assessment under contract non compliance.

14.7 Are there any transcription/calculation errors in the reporting of average response factors (RRF) or percent difference (%D) between initial and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more). _____ []

ACTION: Circle errors in red.

ACTION: If errors are large, call lab for explanation/resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and document effect(s) in the data assessments.

15.0 Internal Standards (Form VIII)

15.1 Are the internal standard areas (Form VIII) of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits (-50% to + 100%) for each continuing calibration? []

ACTION: List each outlying internal standard below.

Sample ID	IS #	Area	LowerLimit	Upper Limit
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

S))Q

YES NO N/A

<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

- ACTION:
- i. If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag with "J" all positive results and non-detects (U values) quantitated with this internal standard.
 - ii. Non-detects associated with IS > 100% should not be qualified.
 - iii. If the IS area is below the lower limit (<50%), qualify all associated non-detects (U-values) "J". If extremely low area counts are reported (<25%) or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable (R).

15.2 Are the retention times of all internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard? []

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

16.0 Field Duplicates

16.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for semivolatile analysis?

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

YES NO N/A

- 30 -